

**5. Basic Linear Lore.** Much of linear algebra over a field  $K$  can be deduced from the following basic lemma in which, for brevity, a matrix will be called *strongly regular* if it is a product of addition or permutation type elementary matrices. In particular, such a matrix is square and has an explicit left and right inverse. On the other hand, a matrix  $A$  will be called *singular* if it has a non-zero kernel  $\mathcal{N}(A)$ . Obviously these two properties exclude one another.

**LEMMA:** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix over  $K$ . Then there exist strongly regular matrices  $M$  and  $N$  such that

$$MAN = \begin{bmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & d_r \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad d_i \neq 0.$$

*Proof:* Let  $\alpha(M, N)$  stand for the entry in the first row and first column of  $MAN$ . If  $\alpha(M, N) = 0$  for all  $M, N$ , then obviously  $A = 0$ , and we are finished. Otherwise there is a pair  $M_1, N_1$  such that

$$M_1 A N_1 = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & X \\ Y & A' \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $A'$  is an  $(m-1) \times (n-1)$ -matrix, and  $d_1 \neq 0$ . Multiplying on the left by addition-type elementary matrices, we make  $Y = 0$ . Similarly, operating from the right, we modify  $N_1$  to get  $X = 0$ . The proof is finished by induction.

**THEOREM:** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix with  $m \leq n$ . Then  $\mathcal{N}(A) = \{0\} \iff A$  is square and invertible.

*Proof:* For invertible  $M, N$  it is easy to see that  $A$  is non-singular if and only if  $MAN$  is. If the latter is as above, non-singularity clearly means  $r = m = n$ . But then  $MAN = D$  is an invertible diagonal matrix, and  $A = M^{-1}DN^{-1}$  is invertible.

**COROLLARY:** An independent subset of the span of  $r$  vectors cannot have more than  $r$  elements.

*Proof:* Suppose  $W_1, \dots, W_s$  are in the span of  $V_1, \dots, V_r$ ; say  $W_j = a_{1j}V_1 + \dots + a_{rj}V_r$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, s$ . Consider the linear combination

$$x_1 W_1 + \dots + x_s W_s = (a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1s}x_s)V_1 + \dots + (a_{r1}x_1 + \dots + a_{rs}x_s)V_r.$$

If  $s > r$ , our theorem guarantees the existence of a non-trivial  $s$ -tuple  $x_1, \dots, x_s$  such that all this is zero, because the matrix  $(a_{ij})$  involved here has more columns than rows, hence must be singular.

*Note:* Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a subspace of  $K^n$ . By the Corollary, any two bases of  $\mathcal{V}$  have the same cardinality  $\dim \mathcal{V}$ . Moreover, any independent  $\{W_1, \dots, W_s\} \subset \mathcal{V}$  is contained in a basis of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

To see this, start with  $W_1, \dots, W_s$  and keep adjoining more vectors  $W_{s+1}, W_{s+2}, \dots \in \mathcal{V}$  (if you can), while maintaining the independence of your collection. By the Corollary, this process cannot go beyond a total of  $n$  vectors. At some point, therefore, your set  $\{W_1, \dots, W_{s+p}\}$  must stop being enlargeable; i.e. any additional vector  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  must be a linear combination of the ones you already have.

This result also shows that  $\dim \mathcal{V}$  is a meaningful measure of the “size” of  $\mathcal{V}$ . More precisely, if  $\mathcal{V}$  contains a smaller subspace  $\mathcal{V}'$ , we can enlarge a basis of  $\mathcal{V}'$  to one of  $\mathcal{V}$ , thus proving that  $\dim \mathcal{V}' < \dim \mathcal{V}$ .

*Exercise:* To test your understanding of dimension, try to prove the following identities:

$$n - \dim \mathcal{N}(A) = \dim \mathcal{C}(A) = \dim \mathcal{R}(A),$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  denote the spans of the columns and of the rows, respectively. For the first, take a basis  $\{W_1, \dots, W_k\}$  of  $\mathcal{N}(A)$  and extend it to one  $\{W_1, \dots, W_n\}$  of  $K^n$ ; then show that  $\{AW_{k+1}, \dots, AW_n\}$  is a basis of  $\mathcal{C}(A)$ . For the second, note that both dimensions are invariant under elementary row and column operations, hence equal to those of  $\mathcal{C}(MAN)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(MAN)$ .

**6. Real Matrices.** One of the most central theorems about real matrices is also one of the easiest to prove. Its geometric version says that *any real linear transformation has the effect of mapping some orthonormal basis of the domain onto an orthogonal subset of the range*. Here is a simple proof of the matrix version.

**THEOREM:** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  real matrix. Then there exist orthogonal matrices  $M$  and  $N$  such that

$$MAN = \begin{bmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & d_r \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad d_i \geq d_{i+1} > 0.$$

*Proof:* Let  $O(n)$  be the set of all  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrices. For  $M \in O(m)$  and  $N \in O(n)$ , let  $\alpha(M, N)$  stand for the entry in the first row and first column of  $MAN$ . Let  $d_1$  the greatest possible value occurring among these.

Since  $O(m) \times O(n)$  is closed and bounded, there is a pair  $M_1, N_1$  for which this value is actually attained. That is, we can obtain that

$$M_1 A N_1 = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & X \\ Y & A' \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $A'$  is an  $(m-1) \times (n-1)$ -matrix. Now we claim that  $X = 0$  and  $Y = 0$  are *zero* rows and columns. Indeed, if  $X$  were non-trivial, the first row  $\rho_1$  of  $M_1 A N_1$  would have length  $d > d_1$ . Then we could multiply on the right by the reflection  $H$  which takes  $\rho_1$  into  $[d, 0, \dots, 0]$  and create a value  $\alpha(M, N) = d > d_1$ . Similarly  $Y = 0$ . Obviously, none of the entries of  $A'$  can exceed  $d_1$  in absolute value (otherwise it could be permuted to the upper left), and this is true for all the possible forms of  $A'$ . We are finished by induction.

The real numbers  $d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_r > 0$  are known as the *singular values* of  $A$ .

**UNIQUENESS:** The  $n \times n$  matrix  $B = A^T A$  has a very simple effect on the columns  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  of  $N$ , namely,  $Bu_i = \mu_i u_i$ , where  $\mu_i = d_i^2$  for  $i \leq r$  and 0 beyond. Indeed,  $N^T B N = (MAN)^T MAN = \Delta$  is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $\mu_i$  as described. Now the identity  $BN = N\Delta$  establishes our claim.

To prove *uniqueness* of the singular values  $d_i$  it clearly suffices to characterize the  $\mu_i$  as being the only numbers such that  $(B - \mu I)u = 0$  for some  $u \neq 0$ . But for  $u = \sum a_i u_i$ , we get  $(B - \mu I)u = \sum a_i (\mu_i - \mu) u_i$ , which is never 0, unless  $\mu$  is one of the  $\mu_i$ .

More geometrically, the  $d_i$  can also be retrieved from the image under  $A$  of the appropriate unit sphere.

**COROLLARY:** Every symmetric  $n \times n$  real matrix  $A$  has an eigenline.

*Proof:* Let  $u \neq 0$  be one of the columns of  $N$ , so that  $A^2 u = A^T A u = \mu u$ , as above. Put  $\mu = \lambda^2$ . Then  $u$  is annihilated by  $A^2 - \mu I = (A + \lambda I)(A - \lambda I)$ . If  $(A - \lambda I)u = v \neq 0$ , then  $v$  generates such a line; if  $v = 0$  then  $u$  does.

For symmetric  $A$  it is trivial to show that the orthocomplement of any invariant subspace is itself invariant. Hence, by induction, the Corollary yields a set of  $n$  mutually orthogonal eigenlines (this is the famous “Spectral Theorem”). Moreover, if  $B$  is symmetric and commutes with  $A$ , it can be restricted to  $\ker(A - \lambda I) \neq 0$ ; therefore the two matrices have a *common* eigenline, hence — by induction — a complete orthogonal set of such.

(All arguments on this page go through without a hitch for *complex* matrices if one changes “orthogonal” and “symmetric” to “unitary” and “hermitian”, respectively, and replaces the transpose  $A^T$  by its complex conjugate  $\bar{A}^T$ . Writing a complex matrix as  $C = A + iB$  with  $A, B$  hermitian, we again get a spectral theorem for  $C$  whenever  $A$  and  $B$  commute.)

**7. Invariant Factors.** Here is another variation on the “ $MAN$ ” theme introduced in §5, this time applied to matrices with integer entries. Note that a strongly regular matrix  $M$  with entries in  $\mathbf{Z}$  (the integers) is invertible over  $\mathbf{Z}$ , that is:  $M^{-1}$  also has integer entries (it suffices to check this for Gaussian matrices and permutation matrices, where it is obvious).

**THEOREM:** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix over  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Then there exist strongly regular matrices  $M$  and  $N$  such that

$$MAN = \begin{bmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & d_r \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad d_i \mid d_{i+1} \neq 0.$$

*Proof:* Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of all non-zero entries of  $MAN$  as  $M$  and  $N$  range over all strongly regular matrices of the appropriate sizes. Take  $d_1 \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $|d_1|$  minimal. By definition, we then have

$$M_1 A N_1 = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & X \\ Y & A' \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $X$  is a row,  $Y$  is a column, and  $A'$  is an  $(m-1) \times (n-1)$ -matrix. We claim that  $X, Y \equiv 0$  modulo  $d_1$ .

Indeed, if  $y$  is any non-zero entry of  $Y$  (say in row  $\mu$ ), we may write it as  $y = qd_1 + r$ , with  $|r| < |d_1|$ . Multiplying  $M_1 A N_1$  on the left by the Gaussian matrix  $I - qE_{\mu,1}$ , we obtain the entry  $r$  in the place of  $y$ , contradicting the minimality of  $|d_1|$ , unless  $r = 0$  as claimed. Hence we can make  $Y = 0$  by such Gaussian multiplications, and similarly (by right multiplications)  $X = 0$ .

Assuming this done, we conclude that all entries of  $A'$  are divisible by  $d_1$ , because any one of them can be made to appear in the first column by a suitable addition of columns (i.e., Gaussian multiplication on the right), thus playing the role of the  $y$  in the argument above. The proof is finished by induction.

**NOTE:** The integers  $d_1, \dots, d_r$  are known as the *invariant factors* of  $A$ . Their uniqueness can be proved via determinants of submatrices of  $A$  as follows. For every  $\nu \leq \min(m, n)$ , let  $E_\nu(A) \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$  be the additive group generated by all  $\nu \times \nu$  subdeterminants of  $A$ . Convince yourself that  $E_\nu(A)$  remains unchanged by left or right multiplication of  $A$  by strongly regular matrices. Hence  $E_\nu(A) = E_\nu(MAN) = (d_1 \cdots d_\nu)\mathbf{Z}$ .

*Congruence modulo a matrix.*

An  $m \times n$  integer matrix  $A$  can be used to define a congruence relation on the  $m$ -fold Cartesian product  $\mathbf{Z}^m$  as follows: given two columns  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  in  $\mathbf{Z}^m$ , we write  $C_1 \equiv C_2 \pmod{A}$  if  $C_1 - C_2 = AX$  for suitable  $X \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ . A careful imitation of the proof given for the case  $m = n = 1$  shows that this relation is compatible with addition (and “scalar multiplication” by individual integers). Hence the congruence classes form an additive group, denoted by  $\mathbf{Z}^m/A\mathbf{Z}^n$ .

*What happens if  $A$  is multiplied on the left by an invertible matrix  $M$ ?* Well,  $C_1 - C_2 = AX \iff MC_1 - MC_2 = MAX$ , in other words, left multiplication by  $M$  changes a congruence class modulo  $A$  into a congruence class modulo  $MA$ , thus giving a homomorphism  $\mathbf{Z}^m/A\mathbf{Z}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}^m/MA\mathbf{Z}^n$ . Since  $M^{-1}$  reverses this map, it is an isomorphism.

*What happens if  $A$  is multiplied on the right by an invertible matrix  $N$ ?* Nothing:  $C_1 - C_2 = AX \iff C_1 - C_2 = ANX'$ , because any  $X$  can be rewritten as  $NX'$ , with  $X' = N^{-1}X$ .

**COROLLARY:** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix over  $\mathbf{Z}$  with invariant factors  $d_1, \dots, d_r$ . Then  $\mathbf{Z}^m/A\mathbf{Z}^n$  is isomorphic to the direct product  $\mathbf{Z}/d_1\mathbf{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{Z}/d_r\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^{m-r}$ .

*Proof:* Let  $M$  and  $N$  be as in the theorem, and put  $A^* = MAN$ . By the preceding discussion,  $M$  induces an isomorphism  $\mathbf{Z}^m/A\mathbf{Z}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}^m/A^*\mathbf{Z}^n$ .

**8. Finite Abelian Groups.** We start by characterizing subgroups of the additive group  $\mathbf{Z}^m$ .

**LEMMA:** Every subgroup of  $\mathbf{Z}^m$  is of the form  $A\mathbf{Z}^m$ , where  $A$  is an  $m \times m$  matrix.

*Proof:* If  $S$  is the given subgroup, we need to find  $m$  columns  $C_1, \dots, C_m$  in  $S$  such that every element of  $S$  can be expressed as  $x_1C_1 + \dots + x_mC_m$  with  $x_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

Let  $\lambda : S \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  be the projection on the last component. Since  $\ker(\lambda)$  can be identified with a subgroup  $S' \subseteq \mathbf{Z}^{m-1}$ , induction gives us columns  $C_1, \dots, C_{m-1}$  which generate  $S'$ . On the other hand,  $\text{im}(\lambda) = \lambda(S)$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbf{Z}$  and therefore equal to  $d\mathbf{Z}$  for some integer  $d$ . Pick  $C_m \in S$  such that  $\lambda(C_m) = d$ .

Now for any  $C \in S$ , we have  $\lambda(C) = kd$ , and hence  $C - kC_m \in S'$ , with  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Therefore  $C - kC_m = x_1C_1 + \dots + x_{m-1}C_{m-1}$  with suitable  $x_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ , as desired.

**THEOREM:** Every finite abelian group is isomorphic to a direct product of cyclic groups.

*Proof:* If  $H$  is the finite group in question, it is clearly possible to find finitely many elements  $h_1, \dots, h_m$  which generate  $H$ . This gives a surjective homomorphism  $\psi : \mathbf{Z}^m \rightarrow H$  by

$$\psi(x_1, \dots, x_m) = x_1h_1 + \dots + x_mh_m,$$

with  $H$  written additively. By the lemma,  $\ker(\psi) = A\mathbf{Z}^m$  for a suitable matrix  $A$ , and by the corollary on the last page it follows (via First Iso. Thm.) that  $H$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}/d_1\mathbf{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbf{Z}/d_m\mathbf{Z}$ . (If you were too generous with your generators, some of the  $d_i$  will equal 1 and may be omitted in this decomposition.)

#### *Two Further Decompositions.*

*Exercise 1:* Let  $H$  be as above. For any integer  $n$ , define the subgroup  $H(n) = \{x \in H \mid nx = 0\}$ . Supposing that  $n = dk$  with  $(d, k) = 1$ , show that

- (a)  $H(d) \cap H(k)$  is trivial ( $= 0$ ), and
- (b)  $H(n) = H(d) \times H(k)$  is a direct product.

For suitable  $n$ , we have  $H(n) = H$ . Therefore the prime factorization  $n = p_1^{s_1} \dots p_t^{s_t}$  and repeated application of this exercise yield the “primary decomposition”

$$H = H(p_1^{s_1}) \times \dots \times H(p_t^{s_t}).$$

The components of this decomposition are *unique*. The first one, for instance, consists of all elements of  $H$  whose order is a power of  $p_1$ .

*Exercise 2:* With  $H$  still written additively, assume that  $H = H(p^s)$  for some prime  $p$ . Applying the theorem, suppose you get

$$H = \mathbf{Z}/p^{\nu_1}\mathbf{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbf{Z}/p^{\nu_r}\mathbf{Z},$$

with  $\nu_1 \geq \dots \geq \nu_r > 0$ . Then we say that  $H$  is of type  $(p^{\nu_1}, \dots, p^{\nu_r})$ . Prove:

- (a)  $H$  is of type  $(p^{\nu_1}, \dots, p^{\nu_r}) \iff H(p)$  is of type  $(p, \dots, p)$  with  $r$  terms and  $pH$  is of type  $(p^{\nu_1-1}, \dots, p^{\nu_z-1})$ , where  $z \leq r$  is the largest index with  $\nu_z > 1$ .
- (b) Another abelian group  $K$  is isomorphic to  $H$  if and only if it is  $p$ -primary of the same type.

A convenient way of checking whether two arbitrary finite abelian groups are isomorphic is to chop them up into primary components and then look at the type of the latter.