

**9. Group actions.** If  $G$  is a group and  $A$  is a set, a  $G$ -action on  $A$  is a map  $G \times A \longrightarrow A$  (denoted by  $g, a \mapsto ga$ ) satisfying  $(gh)a = g(ha)$  and  $1a = a$ . It induces the following equivalence relation on  $A$ :  $x \equiv y \pmod{G} \iff \exists g \in G$  such that  $y = gx$ . (Note how you need the group axioms for this!). Hence it partitions the set  $A$  into equivalence classes, which are sets of the form  $Gx$  ( $x \in A$ ) known as *orbits*.

For any  $x \in A$ , the subgroup  $G_x = \{g \in G \mid gx = x\}$  is called the *stabilizer* of  $x$ . Stabilizers for members of the same orbit are conjugate: if  $y = gx$  one easily checks that  $G_y = gG_xg^{-1}$ .

A  $G$ -action is called *transitive* if it consists of a single orbit. Example: given a subgroup  $H$ , let  $A = G/H = \{gH \mid g \in G\}$  with  $G$  acting by left multiplication; this is obviously transitive. Conversely, if  $A = Gx$  is transitive, the map  $G \longrightarrow A$ , by  $g \mapsto gx$ , induces a  $G$ -isomorphism between  $A$  and  $G/H$ , where  $H = G_x$  is the stabilizer. Indeed,  $g_1x = g_2x \iff g_2^{-1}g_1x = x$ .

This gives a bijection between conjugacy classes of subgroups on the one hand and isomorphism classes of transitive  $G$ -actions on the other.

*An application: Sylow's Theorem.*

**THEOREM:** Let  $|G| = mp^r$ , where  $p$  is a prime not dividing  $m$ . Then,

- (i)  $G$  has a subgroup of order  $p^r$  (called a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup).
- (ii) Any two such subgroups are conjugate in  $G$ , and every  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  is contained in one of them.
- (iii) The number of such subgroups is  $\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and divides  $m$ .

*Proof:* (Wielandt) Let  $G$  act by left multiplication on the family  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets  $U \subset G$  such that  $|U| = p^r$ . Then one easily checks that

$$(a) \quad |\mathcal{A}| \equiv m \pmod{p} \quad (b) \quad U \in \mathcal{A} \implies |G_U| \leq p^r.$$

*Existence.* Partition  $\mathcal{A}$  into orbits. By (a), at least one of these, say  $\mathcal{T} = \{gU \mid g \in G\}$ , has cardinality prime to  $p$ . Thus the order of  $G_U$  must be divisible by  $p^r$ , hence must equal  $p^r$ , by (b).

*Conjugacy.* Let  $H \subseteq G$  be any subgroup of order  $p^s$ , and observe its action on  $\mathcal{T}$ . Since all non-trivial orbits have  $p$ -power cardinality, there must also be trivial ones, i.e.  $HV = V$  for some  $V \in \mathcal{T}$ . Hence  $H \subseteq G_V$  (with equality if  $s = r$ ), and  $G_V$  is conjugate to  $G_U$ .

*Number.* Finally let  $H = G_U$  act by *conjugation* on the set  $\text{Syl}_p(G)$  of all Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ . If this action had a fix-point  $K \neq H$ , the equation  $HK = KH$  would imply that  $HK$  is a group with two distinct normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroups — an impossibility by (ii). Hence the only fix-point is  $H$ , all other orbits have  $p$ -divisible cardinalities, and therefore  $|\text{Syl}_p(G)| \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . This number divides  $m$ , because it is the cardinality of the orbit of  $H$  under conjugation by  $G$  (the stabilizer of  $H$  under this action is at least  $H$ ).

*Two Virtues of  $p$ -Groups.*

Let  $F \subseteq A$  be the set of fixed points (one-point orbits) of a group action  $G \times A \longrightarrow A$ , where  $G$  has order  $p^r$ . Since all the other orbits have  $p$ -power cardinalities, we have  $|F| \equiv |A| \pmod{p}$ . In particular, when  $G$  acts on itself by conjugation, the neutral element cannot be the only fixed point. In other words:

*every non-trivial  $p$ -group  $G$  has a non-trivial centre  $Z(G)$ .*

Since  $G/Z(G)$  has order  $\leq p^{r-1}$ , it now follows by induction (starting with  $r = 1$ ) that

*every non-trivial  $p$ -group has a normal subgroup of index  $p$ .*

More generally, any finite group is called *nilpotent*, if every non-trivial quotient group has a non-trivial centre; it is called *solvable* if every non-trivial subgroup has a normal subgroup of prime index. Nilpotency implies solvability (induction as above), but not vice versa — cf.  $S_3$ .

**10. The Platonic groups.** One of the key elements in this paragraph is the following simple diophantine equation

$$n + 2 = n_1 + n_2 + n_3, \quad \text{with } n > n_i > 1 \quad \text{and } n_i \mid n. \quad (*)$$

*Exercise 1:* Prove that the only integer solutions  $(n, n_1, n_2, n_3)$  of  $(*)$  are the infinite sequence  $(2k, k, k, 2)$  and the three special solutions

$$(i) \quad (12, 6, 4, 4), \quad (ii) \quad (24, 12, 8, 6), \quad (iii) \quad (60, 30, 20, 12). \quad (\dagger)$$

(*Hint:* Show that at least one of the  $n_i$  must be  $= n/2$  and that, if none of them equals 2, another one must be  $= n/3$ . Then think about the remaining one.)

Our task is to study the patterns which can occur when a finite group  $G < SO_3$  of rotations acts on the unit sphere  $S \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ . Every non-trivial  $\rho \in G$  has an axis which meets  $S$  in two antipodal points. Since  $\rho$  leaves them fixed, each of these points has a non-trivial stabilizer. Such points are called “poles” of  $G$ ; they form a finite subset  $P \subset S$ , invariant under  $G$ .

Concentrating on the group action  $G \times P \longrightarrow P$ , we suppose that it has orbits  $T_1, \dots, T_r$  with cardinalities  $n_1, \dots, n_r$ , and that  $G$  has order  $n$ . These numbers are related by the formula:

$$2(n - 1) = (n - n_1) + \dots + (n - n_r). \quad (**)$$

To prove this, we count the number of non-trivial  $\rho \in G$  in two ways. Every pole  $w \in P$  is left fixed by  $f_w = |G_w|$  elements of  $G$  (including the identity), and every non-trivial  $\rho \in G$  belongs to exactly 2 antipodal stabilizers  $G_w$  (the poles of its axis). Hence, if we sum the numbers  $(f_w - 1)$  over all  $w \in P$ , we are counting every  $\rho \neq 1$  twice, and get  $2(n - 1)$ . On the other hand, summing  $(f_w - 1)$  over the orbit  $T_i$ , we obtain  $n_i(f_i - 1) = (n - n_i)$ , because all poles  $w \in T_i$  have  $f_w = f_i = n/n_i$ . Equating the two counts yields the desired equation  $(**)$ . Let us refer to the  $(r + 1)$ -tuple  $(n, n_1, \dots, n_r)$ , with  $n > n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_r$ , as the “signature” of  $G$ .

**LEMMA 1:** Unless the finite group  $G < SO_3$  is cyclic or dihedral (i.e. essentially planar), its signature is one of the three displayed in  $(\dagger)$ .

*Proof:* If  $n_r = 1$ , there must be a pole  $w$  with  $f_w = n$ , i.e., a fix-point. Then all  $\rho \in G$  have the same axis, and we are dealing with a cyclic group. Signature:  $(n, 1, 1)$ .

If  $n_r = 2$ , the equation  $(**)$  reads  $n = (n - n_1) + \dots + (n - n_{r-1})$ . Since  $n_i \mid n$ , we have  $n_i \leq n/2$  for all  $i$ , which means that this equation can only be satisfied by putting  $n_1 = n_2 = n/2$  and  $r = 3$ . Signature:  $(n, n/2, n/2, 2)$ . The two poles in the third orbit must be antipodal, and their common stabilizer be a cyclic group of order  $n/2$ . Hence  $G$  is dihedral.

If  $n_r > 2$ , we can sum the inequalities  $n/2 \leq (n - n_i) < (n - 2)$  and obtain  $rn/2 \leq 2(n - 1) < r(n - 2)$ , whence we conclude that  $r = 3$  and  $2 + n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$ . The result of Exercise 1 now yields the lemma.

**THEOREM 1:** If  $G < SO_3$  has signature (i) or (ii), its action on  $\text{Syl}_3(G)$  defines an isomorphism of  $G$  with  $A_4$  or  $S_4$ , respectively.

*Proof:* First we show that  $|\text{Syl}_3(G)| = 4$  in both cases. Indeed, every  $\rho \in G$  of order 3 lies in the common stabilizer  $G_v = G_w$  of a pair of antipodal poles whose orbits have cardinality divisible by  $n/3$ , hence equal to 4 in Case (i) and to 8 in Case (ii). In either case, a total of 8 poles and 4 stabilizers is involved. Since the latter are *exactly* of order 3, they are the Sylow 3-subgroups. Let us label them  $H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4$ .

The normalizers  $K_i$  of  $H_i$  — i.e., the stabilizers of  $G$  acting on  $\text{Syl}_3(G)$  — have order  $n/4$ . In Case (i), we have  $K_i = H_i \simeq A_3$ . In Case (ii), the order of  $K_i$  is 6, whence  $K_i \simeq S_3$  because there are no poles of order  $6k$  (as there are no orbits of cardinality  $4/k$ ). In either case, the intersection of the  $K_i$  is the kernel  $N$  of the homomorphism  $G \longrightarrow S_4$  given by this  $G$ -action. To finish the proof, we must show that  $N = \{1\}$  and that  $A_4$  is the only subgroup of index 2 in  $S_4$ . This will be done in the following two exercises.

*Exercise 2:* Let  $H < G$  be finite groups, and suppose that  $H$  is the unique minimal non-trivial normal subgroup of its normalizer  $K = N_H(G) \neq G$ . Show that  $G$  acts faithfully (by conjugation) on the set of all conjugates of  $H$ .

*Exercise 3:* For  $n > 2$  show that  $A_n$  is generated by 3-cycles [hint:  $(ij)(kl) = (ij)(jk)^2(kl)$ ]. Conclude that  $A_n$  is in the kernel of any homomorphism  $S_n \rightarrow S_2$ , and hence is the only subgroup of index 2 in  $S_n$ .

LEMMA 2: Let  $\rho$ ,  $\sigma$ , and  $\tau$  be distinct elements of order 2 in  $SO_3$  such that  $\sigma\rho = \rho\sigma$  and  $\tau\rho = \rho\tau$ . Then the axis of  $\rho$  is perpendicular to those of  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  but equal to that of the rotation  $\sigma\tau$ .

*Proof:* As commuting symmetric operators,  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are simultaneously diagonalizable. Since rotations through  $180^\circ$  are completely determined by their axes, the axis of  $\rho$  must be a non-axis eigenvector of  $\sigma$ , hence orthogonal to the axis of  $\sigma$ . Ditto for  $\rho$  and  $\tau$ .

The axis of  $\rho$  is thus reversed by both  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ , hence left fixed by their product. (Incidentally, the angle of the rotation  $\sigma\tau$  equals twice the angle between the axes of  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ .)

THEOREM 2: If  $G < SO_3$  has signature (iii), its action on  $\text{Syl}_2(G)$  defines an isomorphism of  $G$  with  $A_5$ .

*Proof:* Since the signature shows no orbits of cardinality  $15/k$ , there are no elements of order 4. Hence every  $H \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$  consists of the identity and three “turns”, i.e., elements of order 2. Another look at the signature shows that  $G$  contains exactly 15 such turns, each sharing its axis with no other element of  $G$ .

Let  $\langle \rho, \sigma \rangle$  and  $\langle \rho, \tau \rangle$  be non-trivially intersecting members of  $\text{Syl}_2(G)$ . Since  $\rho$  shares its axis with no other element of  $G$ , Lemma 2 forces  $\sigma\tau \in G$  to equal  $\rho$ , whence  $\langle \rho, \sigma \rangle = \langle \rho, \tau \rangle$ . The 15 turns of  $G$  therefore make up 5 Sylow 2-groups  $H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5$ .

As in the proof of Theorem 1, we now consider the normalizers  $K_i$  of these groups. They cannot be cyclic or dihedral, since the signature shows no orbits of cardinality  $10/k$  — as it would if  $G$  had elements of order 6. Hence, by Lemma 1 and Theorem 1, each  $K_i$  is isomorphic to  $A_4$  and contains the Klein 4-group  $H_i$  as its unique minimal non-trivial normal subgroup. By Exercise 2, the  $G$ -action on  $\text{Syl}_2(G)$  defines an injection  $G \rightarrow S_5$ ; by Exercise 3, its image is  $A_5$ .

### *Finite subgroups of $GL_3(\mathbf{R})$ .*

PROPOSITION: Every finite subgroup  $G < SL_3(\mathbf{R})$  which is neither cyclic nor dihedral must be isomorphic to  $A_4$ ,  $S_4$ , or  $A_5$ .

*Proof:* Let  $\alpha$  be the sum of all  $\mu^T \mu$  as  $\mu$  ranges over  $G$  (here  $\mu^T$  denotes the transpose of the matrix  $\mu$ ). Then it is easy to see that  $\alpha$  is symmetric and positive definite, hence has a square root  $\beta$  with the same properties. Moreover,  $\mu^T \alpha \mu = \alpha$  for all  $\mu \in G$ . Since  $\beta^T = \beta$  and  $\beta^2 = \alpha$ , it follows for all  $\mu \in G$  that

$$(\beta\mu\beta^{-1})^T(\beta\mu\beta^{-1}) = \beta^{-1}\mu^T\alpha\mu\beta^{-1} = \beta^{-1}\alpha\beta^{-1} = I,$$

i.e., that  $\beta\mu\beta^{-1}$  is orthogonal. In other words,  $G$  is conjugate in  $GL_3(\mathbf{R})$  to a finite group of rotations.

*Exercise 4:* Let  $T < GL_3(\mathbf{R})$  be the subgroup consisting of  $\pm I$ . Show that every finite subgroup  $H < GL_3(\mathbf{R})$  is contained in  $SL_3(\mathbf{R}) \times T$ . Conclude that  $H$  is either equal to  $G \times T$  or isomorphic to  $G$ , where  $G$  is a suitable subgroup of  $SL_3(\mathbf{R})$ . (Hint: Restricted to  $H$ , the projection  $SL_3(\mathbf{R}) \times T \rightarrow SL_3(\mathbf{R})$  is either injective or has kernel  $T$ .)

### *Regular polyhedra.*

*Exercise 5:* Remember the set  $P \subset S$  of poles. We know  $P = T_1 \cup T_2 \cup T_3$ , with  $|T_i| = n_i$ . For each of the cases in (†), show that the points of  $T_3$  are the vertices of a regular  $n_2$ -hedron with  $f_3$  triangular faces around each vertex.

We shall do this exercise in Case (iii), leaving the two easier cases for the reader. Pick a pair  $v, v_1 \in T_3$  with minimal angular distance  $\delta(v, v_1) > 0$ . Since  $|G_v| = f_3 = 5$ , the  $G_v$ -orbit of  $v_1$  consists of 5 “neighbours”  $\{v_1, \dots, v_5\}$  of  $v$ . All these points lie in the “northern” hemisphere, whose pole is  $v$ , because  $\delta(v, v_1) \leq \delta(v_1, v_2) \leq 72^\circ$ . A similar system of 6 points populates the southern hemisphere — and that accounts for all 12 elements of  $T_3$ .

Around  $v$  we have 5 “triangles”  $\Delta_i = (v, v_i, v_{i+1})$ , with  $i \in \mathbf{F}_5$ , and around every  $\rho v \in T_3$ , with  $\rho \in G$ , a congruent system  $\rho\Delta_i$ . To see that  $\Delta_1$  is equilateral, note that the great circles joining  $v$  to  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$  make an angle of  $72^\circ$  at  $v$ . This must also happen at  $v_2$ , with respect to its neighbours, one of which is  $v$ . In particular, the two neighbours of  $v_2$  adjacent to  $v$  must lie in the northern hemisphere, and hence can be none other than  $v_1$  and  $v_3$ . Therefore  $\delta(v, v_1) = \delta(v, v_2) = \delta(v_1, v_2)$ .